

**TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION AGAINST VIOLENCE**

**The evaluation report**  
**International workshop for representatives of NGOs/ local  
authorities in Poland**

Place of workshop: Jedlina Zdroj, Wałbrzych, Poland


Date: 29 – 31 May 2012

Moderators: Ewa Drozd, Alicja Buczak

The report has been prepared on the basis of evaluation questionnaires. Evaluation questionnaire completed the 24 workshop participants from Poland, Italy and Portugal (three target group). The questionnaire included open and closed questions, in total nine questions about the workshop. The rating scale was from 1 to 6 (where 6 was the highest rating, and 1 the lowest). The first question concerned the organization of the workshop. Participants evaluated the organization of the workshop, including the workshop room, equipment, accommodation and catering. Most of the participants (21 persons) evaluated the organization of workshops highly (10 persons) or very highly (11 persons). Detailed information were presented in the charts below. Participants had no comments on the organization of a workshop in Poland.

**1. How do you evaluate the organization of workshops?**


Overall rating: **1: 0 2: 0 3: 1 4: 2 5: 10 6: 11**




**TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION AGAINST VIOLENCE**

In this:


Classroom: **1: 0 2: 0 3: 0 4: 4 5: 13 6: 7**



Equipment: **1: 0 2: 0 3: 1 4: 6 5: 8 6: 9**




Accommodation: **1: 0 2: 0 3: 0 4: 4 5: 10 6: 10**



**TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION AGAINST VIOLENCE**


Board (catering):           **1: 0    2: 0    3: 1    4: 4    5: 10   6: 9**



The second question concerned the substantive value of the conference. With regard to the substantive value of the conference, participants also (mostly-20 persons) evaluated it highly or very highly. Materials received during workshop were also highly evaluated. Detailed information were presented in the charts below. Comments are presented under the charts.

**2. How do you estimate the value of substantive workshops?**

Overall rating:           **1: 0    2: 0    3: 0    4: 4    5: 13   6: 7**




**TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION AGAINST VIOLENCE**

In this:

**How do you evaluate materials from the workshop?**

(on a scale of 1 to 6; unsatisfactory - very good):

**1: 0   2: 0   3: 0   4: 4   5: 12   6: 8**



Comments:

- All power point should be written in English.

Another question concerned the duration of the workshop. According to the majority of participants the workshop lasted long enough (19 persons), but for five people workshop was too short. Participants evaluated (mostly) the program as suitable – 22 persons. However, two people have recognized that the program was overloaded. Detailed information were presented below. Participants had no comments on the duration and program of a workshop in Poland.

**3. What do you think about the duration of the workshop and the program?**

Training took:	too long: 0	long enough: 19	too short: 5
The program was:	too overloaded: 2	suitable: 22	poor: 0


Participants also evaluated the workshop moderators. Evaluated methods of work and preparation of substantive moderators. Both issues were evaluated highly or very highly. Detailed information were presented in the charts below. Participants had no comments on the moderators of a workshop in Poland.

**TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION AGAINST VIOLENCE**


**4. How do you evaluate the workshop state leaders?**

(on a scale of 1 - low to 6 - very high)

Substantive preparation      **1: 0    2: 0    3: 1    4: 3    5: 10    6: 10**



The method of teaching      **1: 0    2: 0    3: 1    4: 4    5: 10    6: 9**



One of the open questions was related with speeches and presentations at the workshop. Participants were asked to answer the following question:

**Which of the speeches at the workshop were most useful in your view?**

Answers were as follows:

- Presentations of representatives from Italy and Portugal,
- All speeches allowed for a broad presentation of the issues,
- PT – measures that promote social inclusion,
- Speeches defended to practical cases/ examples,


**TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION AGAINST VIOLENCE**

- The Portuguese and during the study visit,
- Was very interesting listening every partners, learn their contexts and realities.

Another question concerned the level of participant’s knowledge before and after the workshop. Most of the participants said that their level of knowledge before the workshop was a medium (9 persons) or high (14 persons). However, after the workshops their level of knowledge definitely improve. Detailed information were presented in the charts below. Participants had no comments on their level of knowledge of a workshop in Poland.


**5. What was your level of knowledge (of the issues raised during the workshop) prior to the workshop on a scale from 1 (low) to 6 (very high)?:**

**1: 0    2: 0    3: 0    4: 9    5: 14    6: 1**



**6. What is the level of knowledge (of the issues raised during the workshop), after the workshop on a scale from 1 (low) to 6 (very high):**

**1: 0    2: 0    3: 0    4: 1    5: 14    6: 9**



---

**TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION AGAINST VIOLENCE**

Another open-ended questions related to the issues of which should be extended and reduced workshop. Participants were asked to answer the following questions:

**7. The issues about which should be extended workshops?**

Answers were as follows:

- Preventing violence in the school environment, including "street" children based on international experience, the creation of joint local initiatives,
- Methods and techniques for working with clients,
- Education for citizenship,
- The strategies of violence prevention,
- Police prevention violence,
- Awareness campaign promoted locally,
- The strategies that we may use in every contexts and countries,
- Good practices in violence prevention,
- The strategies that we use in every contexts and countries.

**8. The issues about which should be abbreviated workshops?**

Answers were as follows:


- The legislation of the different countries, the law,
- Every issue should be dealt shortly to give the chance to develop more different issues,
- Intervention in violence,
- A presentation of the partners,
- A presentation of the participation.

**TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION AGAINST VIOLENCE**

The last question concerned the overall rating of the workshop. The vast majority of participants evaluated highly (9 persons) and very highly (12 persons) workshop in Poland. Detailed information were presented in the chart below.

**9. Overall rating workshop:  
on a scale from 1 (low) to 6 (very high):**

**1: 0   2: 0   3: 0   4: 3   5: 9   6: 12**



**Conclusions:**

- **Selection more convenient (for participants) workshop's rooms;**
- **All materials and presentations used during the workshop should be prepared in English;**
- **To build on the examples of work and personal experience (speeches and presentations);**
- **Unnecessary is the presentation of the project partners and individual participants during the workshop.**

The evaluation report was prepared by: